

Non-Abelian Hodge Theory

Charlie Wu

July 28, 2024

Abstract

These are notes for a talk on Non-Abelian Hodge Theory for Elden's Seminar. I want to talk about the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and the correspondence between Higgs bundles and local systems. In particular, I want to talk about \mathbb{C} -VHS and how it relates to the \mathbb{C}^* on the moduli space of Higgs bundles. I then want to talk about parabolic Higgs bundles in the case of curves, especially when $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus D$.

1 From first year topology to non-abelian cohomology

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold (for example, any smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C}). We have the following isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{C}) // \mathbb{C} \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(X) \cong H_{\mathrm{Dol}}^1(X) \cong H^{1,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,1}(X) \cong H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \oplus H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

The first isomorphism comes from the Universal Coefficient Theorem, the isomorphism between de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology comes from the Kähler-ness of the manifold, and the last isomorphism comes from the general result in Hodge theory that $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) = H^{p,q}(X)$. A class in cohomology $[\alpha]$ is therefore equivalent to a 1-cocycle (gluing data for a rank 1 bundle) and a choice of 1-form on X .

We seek to study a non-abelian analogue of the phenomenon above, meaning the coefficients are some non-abelian group. The most natural group, is of course, some matrix group. For now, we set $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ but if we let G be a subgroup (say, $\mathrm{U}(n)$, $\mathrm{SU}(n)$, SL_2 , etc. we get interesting behavior as well).

We define the following spaces:

Definition 1.1. Let $M_{\mathrm{Betti}}(X, r) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})) // \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$. This is the character variety of $\pi_1(X)$. Let $M_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, r)$ be the moduli space of flat vector bundles on X .

Theorem 1.2 - (Riemann-Hilbert). There are homeomorphisms $M_{\mathrm{Betti}}(X, r) \cong M_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, r) \cong \mathbb{L}$, where \mathbb{L}_r is the space of local systems on X of rank r .

Proof. The maps are as follows:

1. $f : M_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_r$ is given by $f : (V, \nabla) \mapsto \ker(\nabla)$ with inverse $\mathbb{V} \mapsto (\mathbb{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X, \mathrm{id} \otimes d)$.
2. $M_{\mathrm{dR}}(X, r) \rightarrow M_{\mathrm{Betti}}(X, r)$ is given by monodromy of solutions. **This is a highly transcendental operation, and I am not aware of any general method of writing out this map in coordinates. Any special cases worked out (besides $r = 1$) would be extremely interesting!** Best to sketch a picture here. Make sure to mention that monodromy data around a puncture is given by a conjugacy class because $\pi_1(X)$ is defined only up to conjugacy prior to picking a base point, and that complex analysis can only detect homotopy classes of loops.

□

Remark 1.3. M_{Betti} and M_{dR} are meant to be non-abelian cohomology theories, but they do not have a known group structure. These are only pointed spaces.

The story for abelian cohomology tells us that there should be a fourth space $M_{\mathrm{Dol}}(X, r)$ which is analogous to Dolbeault cohomology and is homeomorphic to the first three. Points in this space should consist of pairs (E, θ) where E is some sort vector bundle and θ is a 1-form.

2 Higgs bundles

The correct object to put inside $M_{\text{Dol}}(X, r)$ are rank r Higgs bundles on X .

Definition 2.1. A Higgs bundle (E, θ) on X is a (holomorphic) vector bundle E with a \mathcal{O}_X -linear map $\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1$ such that $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$.

We call a subbundle $F \subseteq E$ a sub-Higgs bundle if $\theta(F) \subseteq F \otimes \Omega_X^1$.

Remark 2.2. If X is a smooth projective variety, GAGA tells us that E is in fact an algebraic vector bundle and θ is an algebraic $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form.

Definition 2.3. For a vector bundle W on X , write $\mu(W) = c_1(W) \cdot [\omega]^{\dim X - 1} / \text{rank } W$ to be the slope of W . A vector bundle V is stable (resp. semistable) if for all proper nonzero subbundles $W \subseteq V$ we have that $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$ (resp. $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$).

We say a Higgs bundle (E, θ) is a stable Higgs bundle if $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$ for all sub-Higgs bundles $F \subseteq E$.

We say a Higgs bundle is polystable if it is the direct sum of stable Higgs bundles.

Definition 2.4. Let $M_{\text{Dol}}(X, r)$ be the rank r polystable Higgs bundles such that $c_1(E) \cdot [\omega]^{\dim X - 1} = c_2(E) \cdot [\omega]^{\dim X - 2} = 0$.

Theorem 2.5 - (Non-Abelian Hodge Theorem). There is a homeomorphism (a \mathbb{R} -analytic isomorphism (**this is meaningless**) $M_{\text{Dol}}(X, r) \cong M_{\text{Betti}}(X, r)$. The stable Higgs bundles correspond precisely to the irreducible representations in $M_{\text{Betti}}(X, r)$.

Proof. Analysis through harmonic bundles. The $c_1(E) \cdot [\omega]^{\dim X - 1} = c_2(E) \cdot [\omega]^{\dim X - 2} = 0$ condition is to ensure that certain metrics exist to make the analysis work. \square

Example 2.6. Let C be a smooth proper curve of genus g and let $r = 1$. Then, $M_{\text{Dol}}(C, 1) \cong \text{Jac}(C) \times H^0(X, \Omega_X^1) \cong (S^1)^{2g} \times \mathbb{C}^g$. On the other hand, $M_{\text{Betti}}(C, 1) = \text{Hom}(H_1(X), \mathbb{C}^*) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{2g}, \mathbb{C}^*) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{2g}$. We know that

$$(S^1)^{2g} \times \mathbb{C}^g \cong (S^1)^{2g} \times (\mathbb{R})^{2g} \cong (S^1 \times \mathbb{R})^{2g} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{2g}$$

and hence $M_{\text{Betti}}(C, 1) \cong M_{\text{Dol}}(C, 1)$ as expected.

3 Variations of Hodge structure and \mathbb{C}^* -actions

Definition 3.1. A \mathbb{C} -variation of Hodge structure on a complex manifold S of weight n is the data of a local system \mathbb{V} on S together with a decreasing filtration $F^\bullet V$ on $V = \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ and a flat connection $\nabla : V \rightarrow V \otimes \Omega_X^1$ such that

1. on the fibers of V , the induced filtration $F^\bullet V_s$ on V_s makes V_s into a Hodge structure of weight n ,
2. (Griffiths transversality) - for all p , we have that $\nabla(F^p V) \subseteq F^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1$.

Remark 3.2. The Griffiths transversality condition is there because if $\mathbb{V} = R^i \pi_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ for some smooth proper map $\pi : X \rightarrow S$, Griffiths transversality is always satisfied.

Definition 3.3. Let (V, F^\bullet, ∇) be a \mathbb{C} -VHS on S . Then, write $E^p = F^p V / F^{p+1} V$ and $\theta_p : E^p \rightarrow E^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1$ the induced map from ∇ . Then, set $E = \bigoplus E_p$ and $\theta = \bigoplus \theta_p$. We call (E, θ) the Higgs bundle induced from (V, F^\bullet, ∇) . If (E, θ) is a Higgs bundle which comes from this construction, we say that (E, θ) is a system of Hodge bundles.

There is an action of \mathbb{C}^* on $M_{\text{Dol}}(X, r)$ given by $t \cdot (E, \theta) = (E, t\theta)$. Note that in the graded case (i.e., (E, θ) is a system of Hodge bundles), t acts on E^p by t^p .

Lemma 3.4. Let $(E, \theta) \cong (E, t\theta)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that t is not a root of unity. Then, E has the structure of a system of Hodge bundles.

Proof. Let $f : E \rightarrow E$ be an automorphism such that $f\theta = t\theta f$. Then the characteristic polynomial of f is given by $p(z) = z^r + a_1 z^{r-1} + \dots + a_r$ where $a_j = (-1)^j \text{tr}(\wedge^j f)$ where $r = \text{rank } E$. But since X is a compact complex manifold, the $\text{tr}(\wedge^j f)$ are all constant. Hence, $p(z)$ has constant eigenvalues. We can

then write $E = \oplus E_\lambda$ where λ are the roots of $p(z)$ and $E_\lambda = \ker(f - \lambda)^n$ are the generalized Eigenspaces of f . Then,

$$(f - t\lambda)^n \theta = t^n \theta (f - \lambda)^n$$

so θ maps the eigenspace E_λ to the $E_{t\lambda}$ eigenspace. So, we get eigenspaces for $\lambda, t\lambda, \dots, t^s\lambda$, with $t^{-1}\lambda$ and $t^{s+1}\lambda$ not eigenvalues. (Here, we are using the fact that t is not a root of unity.) Then setting $E^p = E_{t^{s-p}\lambda}$ we have that $\theta(E^p) \subseteq E^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1$. Therefore we get the structure of a system of Hodge bundles. \square

Therefore, systems of Hodge bundles (\mathbb{C} -VHS), are precisely the fixed points of the \mathbb{C}^* -action. We use this description to study certain special points in the various moduli spaces.

Corollary 3.5. Let X and Y be compact Kähler manifolds and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a map such that $f_* : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective. If V is a bundle such that f^*V comes from a \mathbb{C} -VHS on Y , then V comes from a \mathbb{C} -VHS on X . An example where this theorem applies is when, for example, Y is a hyperplane section of X . Then the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem tells us that we have an injection $H^{n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ which corresponds to a surjection on fundamental groups.

Proof. The action of \mathbb{C}^* commutes with f^* . If there are two local systems (representations) V_1 and V_2 such that $f^*V_1 \cong f^*V_2$, then $V_1 \cong V_2$ since f is surjective on fundamental groups. Let V_t be the local system given by the action of t on V . Then since f^*V is a \mathbb{C}^* -fixed point, we know that $f^*V \cong f^*V_t$ and so $V \cong V_t$. Therefore, V is a \mathbb{C}^* -fixed point and comes from a \mathbb{C} -VHS. \square

Definition 3.6. Let G be a reductive algebraic group. A representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ is called rigid if it is an isolated point of $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), G)/G$. Equivalently, the local monodromy data of X uniquely determines the representation up to isomorphism.

Corollary 3.7. Any rigid representation comes from a complex variation of Hodge structure.

Proof. Let (E, θ) be a Higgs bundle coming from a rigid representation. Let t_i be a sequence of elements of \mathbb{C}^* such that none of the t_i are roots of unity, and $\lim t_i = 1$. Then, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (E, t_i \theta) = (E, \theta)$.

Since (E, θ) is rigid as a representation of G , so $(E, \theta) \cong (E, t_n \theta)$ for some t_n as $t_i \rightarrow 1$. Therefore, by Lemma t , we know that (E, θ) is a system of Hodge bundles and hence comes from a \mathbb{C} -VHS. \square

Lemma 3.8. Suppose X is a smooth projective variety, and G a reductive complex algebraic group. Any representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$ can be deformed to a representation which comes from a \mathbb{C} -VHS.

Proof. We invoke the following fact: the map $h : \text{M}_{\text{Dol}}(X, r) \rightarrow C$ (where C is the space of polynomials with coefficients in $\text{Sym}^\bullet \Omega_X^1$) given by $h(E, \theta) = p_\theta(z)$ where $p_\theta(z)$ is the characteristic polynomial of θ , is proper.

Then, we take the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (E, t\theta) = (E', \theta')$. Such a limit exists, since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h(t\theta)$ approach z^r and then by properness of h , we get a limit (E', θ') . This limit is unique and hence is preserved by \mathbb{C}^* . \square

Remark 3.9. In the case of smooth projective curves of genus g , whenever $g > 0$ we never have rigid representations. This is because given a presentation of $\pi_1(C) = \langle a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g | \prod [a_i, b_i] \rangle$ and some conjugacy classes for each generator C_1, \dots, C_{2g} , any representation (given by matrices A_1, \dots, A_{2g} respecting the relations) can be deformed by considering $\lambda A_1, \dots, \lambda A_{2g}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. This is not conjugation.

4 The parabolic case for curves

In the case where X is not a projective variety, we no longer know that any holomorphic vector bundle is an algebraic vector bundle since we cannot use GAGA. However, Deligne provides for us a solution - a holomorphic vector bundle with flat connection does not have a unique algebraic structure, but it has a *canonical* algebraic bundle structure with a regular flat connection.

Definition 4.1. Let X be smooth and D a simple normal crossings divisor (locally looks like $V(x_1 \cdots x_n)$ and each of its components are smooth). We define a logarithmic form p -form ω with respect to D to be an algebraic p -form on $X \setminus D$ such that ω and $d\omega$ have poles of order at most 1 along D .

We set $\Omega_X^p(\log D)$ to be the sheaf of logarithmic p -forms with respect to D .

Example 4.2. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \oplus (i_j)_* \mathcal{O}_{D_j} \rightarrow 0$$

where $D = \sum D_j$ and i_j are the inclusions of D_j .

On a curve C , if $D = x_1 + \dots + x_n$, our logarithmic 1-forms are locally of the form $f(z) \frac{dz}{z-x_i}$ as expected.

From now on, we assume $X = C$ is a curve for simplicity.

Definition 4.3. A parabolic bundle E_* with respect to a divisor $D = x_1 + \dots + x_n$ is a vector bundle E with the data

1. a flag $0 = E_{x_i}^0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq E_{x_i}^{n_i} = E_{x_i}$
2. a sequence of real numbers $0 \leq \alpha_i^1 < \dots < \alpha_i^{n_i} < 1$.

Definition 4.4. Given a logarithmic connection $\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$, we set the residue at x_i to be the matrix $\text{Res}(\nabla)(x_i) \in \text{End}(E)_{x_i}$. Let $\eta_i^1, \dots, \eta_i^{n_i}$ be the eigenvalues of $\text{Res}(\nabla)(x_i)$. Then we define

$$\lambda_i^j = \text{Re}(\eta_i^j) - \lfloor \text{Re}(\eta_i^j) \rfloor \in [0, 1).$$

We reorder the λ_i^j so that $0 \leq \lambda_i^1 < \dots < \lambda_i^{n_i} < 1$. We let $E_{x_i}^j$ be the direct sums of the generalized eigenspaces of the eigenvalues η_i^j and we set E_* to be the parabolic bundle with these flags and the weights λ_i^j .

Remark 4.5. The idea behind this $\lambda_i^j \in [0, 1)$ condition is that the flat bundle with connection $\nabla = d + \lambda \frac{dz}{z}$ on $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ which has residue λ . Then, the monodromy representation is given by $1 \mapsto e^{2\pi i \lambda}$. Note that there are many flat connections with this local monodromy - $d + (\lambda + 1) \frac{dz}{z}$, for example. However, requiring that $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ ensures that we get a unique flat bundle with logarithmic singularities.

Definition 4.6. We set the parabolic degree of a parabolic bundle E_* to be

$$\text{par-deg}(E_*) = \deg E + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \alpha_i^k \cdot \dim(E_{x_i}^k / E_{x_i}^{k-1}).$$

We set the parabolic slope to be $\mu_*(E_*) = \text{par-deg}(E_*) / \text{rank } E$, and we call E_* parabolic stable if for all subbundles F_* , $\mu_*(F_*) < \mu_*(E_*)$. If E_* is a parabolic Higgs bundle (a pair (E_*, θ) where $\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ is a \mathcal{O}_X -linear map), we call it stable if stability holds with respect to all sub-Higgs bundles.

Theorem 4.7. Let C be a smooth proper curve and D a reduced effective divisor on C . There is a homeomorphism of moduli spaces $\text{M}_{\text{Betti}}(C \setminus D, r) \cong \text{M}_{\text{dR}}(C \setminus D, r) \cong \text{M}_{\text{Dol}}(C \setminus D, r)$ where $\text{M}_{\text{dR}}(C \setminus D, r)$ is the moduli space of flat logarithmic bundles on C with residues lying in $[0, 1)$, and $\text{M}_{\text{Dol}}(C \setminus D, r)$ is the moduli space of polystable parabolic Higgs bundles.

Theorem 4.8. All of the results in section 3 (on \mathbb{C} -VHS) hold in the parabolic case.